LABOR COMPLIANCE PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT

Format for Approved Program that contracts with Awarding Bodies to provide labor compliance enforcement

NTERIM REPORT for the reporting period 1/1/2010 to 6/30/2010 (mm/dd/yyyy)

Name of Labor Compliance Program (LCP): Labor Compliance Providers, Inc.		
2. LCP I.D. Number (assigned by DIR):	3. Date of Initial Approval:	
LCP ID: 2002.00001	1/17/2003	
4. Contact person (include name, title, address, telephone, fax, and e-mail, if available):	ail, if available):	
James Reed, Labor Compliance Officer		
1168 E. La Cadena Dr., #201; Riverside, CA 92507		
P: (951) 686-3482 – F: (951) 686-8470 – Email: LCP_inc@msn.com		
5. List all Awarding Bodies covered by this report as well as any other Awarding Bodies with whom the LCP currently has a contract to provide compliance enforcement. If <i>none</i> , please proceed directly to item 7 and provide all requested information. Then complete the information below, and sign and submit this form to DIR, Office of the Director, Attn: LCP Special Assistant455 Golden Gate Avenue, 10 th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102.	Awarding Bodies with whom the LCP currently has a contract to provide compliance all requested information. Then complete the information below, and sign and submit Golden Gate Avenue, 10 th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102.	tract to provide compliance below, and sign and submit thi 02.
County of Riverside Economic Development Agency		
Mojave Unified School District		
Rancho California Water District		
Santa Ana Unified School District		
Southern Kern Unified School District		
What suggestions do you have for the Department of Industrial Relations to better assist you with your program in the coming year? (attach additional sheets if necessary):	ns to better assist you with your program in the coming yea	ar? (attach additional sheets if
SUBMITTED BY: James Reed, I	James Reed, Labor Compliance Administrator	August 23, 2010
	Name and Title	Date

Awarding Body: County of Riverside, Economic Development Agency

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 6 months.

\$2,949,805			Total
and the second s			
And the parties of the second	The state of the s		
\$2,949,805	RC Construction	7/21/09	Highgrove Library
Contract Amount	Prime Contractor	Bid Advertisement Date	Project Name

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

		\$6.50	\$6.50		Total
1777.5; underpayment of training fund contributions	No	\$6.50	\$6.50	Ed Graves & Associates	Highgrove Library
Description of Violation	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Amount Recovered	Amount Assessed	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Project Name

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

	\$6.50	\$6.50	Total
Below \$1000 threshold	\$6.50	\$6.50	Highgrove Library
Explanation	Amount Recovered	Amount Assessed	Project Name

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor	identified in iter	n B for which	approval of for	feiture was re	quested from		issioner, pleas	Commissioner, please provide the following:	llowing:	
Project		Amount	Assessed				Amount	Recovered		
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
None for period							c	d	c	
Total	****									
E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.	at are or were th	e subject of LC	§ 1742 proce	edings.				-		
Projec	Project Name		Contractor		Nature of Violation	lation	ODL Case #	#	Current Status	atus
None for this period	od									
							المراد المالة المراد ال			
F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC $\S~1777.1?$	y contractor to the	he Labor Comr	nissioner for d	ebarment per l	LC § 1777.1?					
Please check one: If yes, identify a	Pease check one: YES V NO If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	✓ NO or(s) or subcon	tractor(s) and	date(s) of refer	таl:					
G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?	y apprenticeship	violation to th	e Division of ,	Apprenticeship	o Standards (I	DAS)?				
Please check one: If yes, identify a	YES VICENTIFY NO If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	NO or subcon	tractor(s) and	iate(s) of refer	ral:					I

Awarding Body: Mojave Unified School District

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 6 months.

\$28,491,126			Total
\$1,570,745	Seward L. Schreder Construction	5/21/07	Mojave High School Modernization 800, 900, 100
\$629,255	Seward L. Schreder Construction	5/21/07	Mojave Elementary School Modernization 100, 300
\$3,780,474	Seward L. Schreder Construction	2/1/07	Mojave Elementary School
\$1,510,652	Seward L. Schreder Construction	2/1/07	Mojave High School
\$7,255,956	Seward L. Schreder Construction	09/21/06	Hacienda Elementary School
\$13,744,044	Seward L. Schreder Construction	09/21/06	California City High School Bldg's A & J
Contract Amount	Prime Contractor	Bid Advertisement Date	Project Name

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Mo.	IVIC.	<u></u>	Moj	Moj	Mo	Moj	Mo	Hac	
!	Mojave Elementary	Mojave Elementary	Mojave Elementary	Mojave Elementary	Mojave High School	Mojave Elementary	Mojave High School	Hacienda	Project Name
Thompson Painting	Sturgeon & Son Grading/Paving	Cal Pacific Roofing	Andy Gump	Sturgeon & Son Grading/Paving	Meldon Glass	Brian Howell Construction	Brian Howell Construction	Doortek Systems	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)
\$255.76	\$24.32	\$12.27	\$10.85	\$60.80	\$14.28	\$76.95	\$13.45	859.31	Amount Assessed
\$255.76	\$24.32	\$12.27	\$10.85	\$60.80	\$14.28	\$76.95	\$13.45	\$859.31	Amount Recovered
Yes ∠No	Yes ∠No	_Yes ∠No	Yes ✓ No	_Yes ∠No	_Yes ∠No	Yes ∠No	_Yes ≼No	_Yes ∠No	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?
1775; Underpayment discovered via worker inte	CAC Underpayment	CAC Underpayment	CCR 16432, LC 1775; Underpayment discovered via payroll confirmation	CAC Underpayment	CAC Underpayment	CAC Underpayment	CAC Underpayment	LC 1775; Wrong wage determination	Description of Violation

-		
Total	Mojave Elementary	Mojave High School
	Doortek Systems	Pickenpaugh Concrete
\$1,394.38	\$63.84	\$2.55
\$1,394.38	\$63.84	\$2.55
	Yes ∠No	_Yes ∠No
	1774; Prevailing wage underpayment	1777.5; Underpayment of training funds

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

	\$1,327.99	\$1,327.99	Total
Below \$1000 threshold	\$63.84	\$63.84	Mojave Elementary
Below \$1000 threshold	\$2.55	\$2.55	Mojave High
Below \$1000 threshold	\$255.76	\$255.76	Mojave Elementary
Below \$1000 threshold	\$24.32	\$24.32	Mojave Elementary
Below \$1000 threshold	\$12.27	\$12.27	Mojave Elementary
Below \$1000 threshold	\$10.85	\$10.85	Mojave Elementary
Below \$1000 threshold	\$60.80	\$60.80	Mojave Elementary
Below \$1000 threshold	\$14.28	\$14.28	Mojave High School
Below \$1000 threshold	\$76.95	\$76.95	Mojave Elementary
Below \$1000 threshold	\$13.45	\$13.45	Mojave High School
Below \$1000 threshold	\$859.31	\$859.31	Hacienda
Explanation	Amount Recovered	Amount Assessed	Project Name

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

None for period	Name	Project
id .	LC §1776(g)	**************************************
	LC § 1775 LC § 1813	Amount
	LC § 1813	Amount Assessed
	Wages	
	Total	
	LC § 1776(g)	
	LC § 1775	Amount
	LC § 1813	Amount Recovered
į.	Wages	
	Total	

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

		the state of the s		THE PARTY OF THE P
				None for this period
Current Status	ODL Case #	Nature of Violation	Contractor	Project Name

	Please check one: YES V NO If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?	Please check one: YES V NO If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?
--	--	--	--	--

Awarding Body: Rancho California Water District

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 6 months.

3

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Total	Vail Lake Transmission Main & Pump Station	Project Name
	BHA, Inc.	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)
\$570.38	\$570.38	Amount Assessed
\$570.38	\$570.38	Amount Recovered
	YES ∠ NO	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?
	LC 1775; wrong determination applied	Description of Violation

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

	\$570.38	\$570.38	Total
Below \$1000 threshold	\$570.38	\$570.38	Station
			Vail Lake Transmission Main & Pump
Explanation	Amount Recovered	Amount Assessed	Project Name

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project		Amount Assessed	Assessed				Amount	Amount Recovered		
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775 LC § 1813	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775 LC § 1813	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
None for period							C	d	C	
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.	ect of LC § 1742 proceedings.			
Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
None for this period				
F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?	bor Commissioner for debarme	ent per LC § 1777.1?		
Please check one: YES V NO If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	✓ NO_ or subcontractor(s) and date(s)	of referral:		
G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?	ation to the Division of Appren	ticeship Standards (DAS)?		
Please check one: YES NO If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	✓ NO_ or subcontractor(s) and date(s)	of referral:		

Awarding Body: Santa Ana Unified School District

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 6 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Madison Elementary School Phase 1	7/7/09	Silver Creek Industries	\$6,692,000
Madison Elementary School Phase 2	11/18/08	USS Cal Builders	\$1,978,000
Total			\$8,670,000

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

		т		····	·	·	·		,	,		
Madison Phase 1	Madison Phase 1	Madison Phase 2	Madison Phase 2	Madison Phase 2	Madison Phase 1	Madison Phase 2	Madison Phase 2	Madison Phase 2	Madison Phase 2	Madison Phase 1	Madison Phase 1	Project Name
Golden Belt Coatings	Golden Belt Coatings	Southwest Grading	USS Cal Builders	Ace Demolition	TL Shield & Associates	Marina Landscape	Silecon	Ace Demolition	Southwest Grading	Edward Graves & Assoc	Edward Graves & Assoc	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)
\$583.91	\$951.45	\$1,643.44	\$596.88	\$512.16	\$9.44	\$434.29	\$797.61	\$75.45	\$3,656.35	\$119.36	\$204.72	Amount Assessed
\$583.91	\$951.45	\$880.08	\$596.88	\$512.16	\$9.44	\$259.00	\$797.61	\$75.45	\$3,853.15	\$119.36	\$204.72	Amount Recovered
YES < NO	YES _< NO	YES ✓ NO	YES ✓ NO	YES V NO	YES ✓ NO	YES _< NO	YES _ NO	YES _< NO	✓ YES NO	YES < NO	YES ✓ NO	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?
1777.5 Over-utilization of apprentices;	1777.5 Over-utilization of apprentices; pay as Journeyman	LC 1774; Non-reporting of worker; prevailing wage underpayment	LC 1774; Prevailing Wage Underpayment	LC 1774; Under-reported hours to Trust Fund	LC 1777.5; Training Fund Underpayment	Landscape Tender vs. Laborer Ratio Violation	CCR 230.1; Apprentice working without Journeyman	LC 1774; Under-reported hours to Trust Fund	LC 1774 & 1777.5; Fringe Benefit contributions due to the trust fund & CAC underpayment	LC 1774; Fringe Benefits Due to Trust Fund	Misclassification [CCR16432(f)]	Description of Violation

		\$9,804.91	\$10,546.76		Total
1774; prevailing wage underpayment	YES _ NO	\$449.00	\$449.00	LA Demo Ex.	Madison Phase 2
1777.5 Training fund underpayment	YES V NO	\$88.40	\$88.40	LA Demo Ex.	Madison Phase 2
1777.5 Over-utilization of apprentices; pay as Journeyman	YES < NO	\$121.67	\$121.67	Sitecon	Madison Phase 2
1775; Fringe benefit underpayment	YES V NO	\$302.63	\$302.63	Southwest Grading	Madison Phase 2
pay as Journeyman					

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

	\$7,916.62	\$8,091.91	Total
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$449.00	\$449.00	Madison Phase 1
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$88.40	\$88.40	Madison Phase 2
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$951.45	\$951.45	Madison Phase 1
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$596.88	\$596.88	Madison Phase 2
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$512.16	\$512.16	Madison Phase 2
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$9.44	\$9.44	Madison Phase 1
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$259.00	\$434.29	Madison Phase 2
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$797.61	\$797.61	Madison Phase 2
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$75,45	\$75.45	Madison Phase 2
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$119.36	\$119.36	Madison Phase 1
Contractor paid restitution 1 day prior to sending Forfeiture Request to the Labor Commissioner	\$3,853.15	\$3,853.15	Madison Phase 2
Amount below \$1000 threshold	\$204.72	\$204.72	Madison Phase 1
Explanation	Amount Recovered	Amount Assessed	Project Name

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

F				
	Total	Madison Ph 2	Name	Project
	\$0.00	\$0.00	LC §1776(g)	
	\$250.00	\$250.00	LC § 1775	Amount
	\$0.00	\$0.00	LC § 1813	Assessed
	\$1378.08	\$1378.08	Wages	
	\$1643.44	\$1643.44	Total	
	00.0\$	\$0.00	LC § 1776(g)	
	\$150.00	\$150.00	LC § 1775	Amount
	\$0.00	\$0.00	LC § 1813	Amount Recovered
	\$714.72	\$714.72	Wages	
	\$880.08	. \$880.08	Total	

T
ent
ify
Cas
ses
dentify cases that are or were the subject of LC $\S~1\%$
<u>a</u>
ਰ
or 1
Ve.
ē
the
us
b ie
2
of
J
ထာ
17
42
Ϊď
Ö
1742 proceedings.
din
OS OS
•

10 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m				None for this period
Current Status	ODL Case #	Nature of Violation	Contractor	Project Name

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 17
-
\simeq
ें
ou refer an
<u>-</u>
fe
7
an
K
္က
Ĭ
ੜ
\overline{c}
Θ
ontractor to the Labo
0
₹.
e
2
Ď.
윽
Labor Commissioner
0
≅
≅.
SS
중.
mer fo
4
fc
or de
ď
χ̈́
=
Ħ
H
ĕ
Ë
\sim
ςΩ.
~
1777.1?
77
-
>

	Please check one:
3	Please check one: YESNO_
	NO_

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: Southwest Grading, 1/11/10

If yes, identify aff	Please check one:	G. Did you refer any
ected contractor	YES	apprenticeship v
If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referra	₹ NO	G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprentic
nd date(s) of referral:		of Apprenticeship Sta
		iceship Standards (DAS)?

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report).

Awarding Body: Southern Kern Unified School District

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 6 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Tropico Middle School	3/10/09	Seward L. Schreder	\$5,924,509
Total			\$5,924,509

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

				,			.,					,	
Tropico	Tropico	Tropico	Tropico	Tropico	Тгорісо	Тгорісо	Tropico	Тгорісо	Tropico	Tropico	Tropico	Tropico	Project Name
Xcel Concrete Floor Flatness Testing	CMA Fire Protection (Dba: RLH)	CMA Fire Protection (Dba: RLH)	CMA Fire Protection (Dba: RLH)	Campbell Electric	Hanes & Associates	Mike Boban Construction	CMA Fire Protection (Dba: RLH)	Plumbing Systems	Hanes & Associates	Campbell Electric	Mike Boban Construction	Hanes & Associates	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)
\$5.20	\$69.96	\$78.66	\$196.50	\$18.24	\$98.90	\$10.13	\$1.80	\$108.05	\$227.92	\$32.50	\$649.00	\$50.40	Amount Assessed
\$5.20	\$69,96	\$78.66	\$196.50	\$18.24	\$98.90	\$10.13	\$1.80	\$108.05	\$227.92	\$32.50	\$649.00	\$50.40	Amount Recovered
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?
1777.5(m)(1) Non-payment of training funds	1774; Prevailing wage underpayment to Pension Plan	1774; Prevailing wage underpayment to Pension Plan	1774; Prevailing wage underpayment	1774; Prevailing wage underpayment to Pension Plan	1774; Prevailing wage underpayment	1777.5(m)(1) Non-payment of training funds	1777.5(m)(1) under-payment of training funds	1774; Prevailing wage underpayment	1774; Prevailing wage underpayment	1777.5(m)(1) Non-payment of training funds	1774; Paid regular OT rate; should've been double-time	1777.5(m)(1) under-payment of training funds	Description of Violation

	\$1,778.86	\$1,778.86		Total
No 1777.5(m)(1) Non-payment of training funds	\$12.80	\$12.80	Andy Gump	Tropico
No 1774; Prevailing wage underpayment	\$110.75	\$110.75	CMA Fire Protection	Tropico
No 1777.5(m)(1) Non-payment of training	\$108.05	\$108.05	Plumbing Systems	Tropico

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

	\$1,778.80	31,770.00	
The state of the s			Total
Under \$1000 threshold	\$12.80	\$12.80	Tropico
Under \$1000 threshold	\$110.75	\$110.75	Тгорісо
Under \$1000 threshold	\$108.05	\$108.05	Тгорісо
Under \$1000 threshold	\$5.20	\$5.20	Tropico
Under \$1000 threshold	\$69.96	\$69.96	Тгорісо
Under \$1000 threshold	\$78.66	\$78.66	Tropico
Under \$1000 threshold	\$196.50	\$196.50	Tropico
Under \$1000 threshold	\$18.24	\$18.24	Tropico
Under \$1000 threshold	\$98.90	\$98.90	Tropico
3 Under \$1000 threshold	\$10.13	\$10.13	Tropico
Under \$1000 threshold	\$1.80	\$1.80	Тгорісо
Under \$1000 threshold	\$108.05	\$108.05	Tropico
22 Under \$1000 threshold	\$227.92	\$227.92	Tropico
	\$32.50	\$32.50	Tropico
Under \$1000 threshold	\$649.00	\$649.00	Tropico
Under \$1000 threshold	\$50.40	\$50.40	Tropico
Explanation	Amount Recovered	Amount Assessed	Project Name

D
·-
S,
an
<u>~</u>
Ħ
2
Ħ
ide
Ħ
ΞĘ
ă
Ξ.
E
3
Βí
2
٤
nic
j h
ddī
ð
Va
2
f
ř
Ħ
Fe
×
1 St
þ
ie
हिं
l fi
S.
1
ĕ
la
D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commi
C
Om
Ħ
iss
ĬĢ.
Œ.
<u>p</u>
eas
ě
orc
Ž.
ie i
he
fo
110
Ž.
ng
••

Project		Amount	Assessed				Amount	Amount Recovered		
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
None for period									c	
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

None for this period	Project Name
	Contractor
	Nature of Violation
	ODL Case #
	Current Status

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.
\Box
Did
Ÿ
2
Ξ
ef
ä
21
₹
8
ĭ
Πa
Ē
윽
ť
<u>-</u>
ne
Ľ
ab
ĕ
Ö
ò
∄
≅.
SS
Ō.
ne
r f
0.
р.
eb
ă
Ξ
en
7
œ
Ţ
b
တ်
_
77
.7
1777.1?

Please check one:
YES
NO_

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

If yes, identify aft	Please check one:
entify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	YESNO_
date(s) of referral:	

- agencies with which those statements are being filed. 7. On a separate sheet, provide a certificate of compliance with conflict of interest disclosure requirements by employees and consultants who participate in making governmental decisions (as defined under 2 CCR § 18701) along with the names of LCP personnel who are filing disclosure statements and the
- Please update the following information per 8 CCR § 16426(a)(2), (3) and (5) disclosure requirement
- which the program intends to contract to provide labor compliance enforcement. A. Identify the geographical areas in which the program intends to operate and the identity of any public agencies not previously identified in this report with

Orange County, Riverside County, and Kern County

contractors competing for such contracts or (ii) a jurisdictional dispute with another collective bargaining representative of workers utilized for such contracts. classifications as those employed for such a contract and who has been engaged in (i) an organizational campaign under the National Labor Relations Act with has been the surety on such a contract; (3) any joint labor-management committee established pursuant to the Federal Labor Management Cooperation Act of or with any public agency with which the program has contracted or intends to contract to provide labor compliance enforcement; (2) any person or entity who that within the preceding five years has been awarded a public works contract within the geographical area in which the program operates or intends to operate B. State whether the entity shares personnel, management, ownership or other close affiliation with any of the following: (1) any contractor or subcontractor 1978 (section 175a of Title 29 of the United States Code); or (4) any person or entity who has represented workers employed in the same or similar

personnel, management, and ownership; and if applicable, please provide a short description of the nature and extent of any other close affiliation: For each affiliation, please provide the name, address, telephone number, and principal contact person for the person or entity; please identify shared

Act of 1978. The Center for Contract Compliance is located at 4399 Santa Anita Ave., El Monte, California. Contract Compliance and Administrator for Labor Compliance Providers, Inc.; phone number (951) 712-5197 Labor Compliance Providers, Inc. is the sister company of the Center for Contract Compliance, a labor-management committee formed under the Labor Management James Reed is the Executive Director for the Center for

contractor, subcontractor, surety, or worker representative referred to in the preceding item. C. Identify the attorney or law firm available to provide legal support for the LCP, and whether the persons or firms providing that support also represent any

Attorney/Law Firm Name (include address, contact person, and telephone number)

Dwayne McKenzie; Cox, Castle & Nicholson LLP; 2049 Century Park East 28th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067; Phone: (310) 277-4222

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

employees and consultants who participate in making governmental decisions (as defined under 2 CCR §18701). Labor Compliance Providers, Inc. is hereby issuing this certificate of compliance with the conflict of interest disclosure requirements by

The following Labor Compliance Providers, Inc. personnel have filed disclosure statements with the below listed agencies:

James Reed County of Riverside, Economic Development Agency

James Reed Santa Ana Unified School District

James Reed Southern Kern Unified School District

James Reed Mojave Unified School District

James Reed Rancho California Water District